

## An In-company Innovation Promotion Activity Utilizing QFD-TRIZ

~ Towards the Base Construction of a "surprise" Tire Product Development and an "innovative" Technical Development Capacity ~

> 12th Japan TRIZ Symposium 2016 2016/09/02 J23(Room B 15:00~15:25)

Tire Technical Center

TOYO TIRE & RUBBER CO., LTD.

Naoto Kashihara

Leading Tire Technology Development Department

- (1) About the company introduction
- (2) About the tire technology
- (3) About the in-house innovation activity
- (4) About promotion device ①
- (5) About promotion device ②
- (6) About promotion device ③
- (7) Conclusion

## (1-1)Company introduction: Outline

**TOYO TIRES** dríven to perform



Centers on parts for the tire and the car, and the global expansion from a domestic technological base

#### (1-2) Company introduction: About the tire business

TOYO TIRES driven to perform



•Tires for new cars  $\Rightarrow$  **BtoB** 

An approach (innovation) different from the past is necessary to offer "Surprise" to the customer

innovation

#### (2-1) Tire technology: About the basic functions





The tire is the only point of contact that connects the car to the road, and four large functions are satisfied by making it with air.

### (2-2) Tire technology: About a basic component

TOYO TIRES driven to perform



There are various roles in the tire composition parts, and it carries out four large functions by the optimal combination

## (2-3) Tire technology: About the contradiction of technological development



Example of physical contradiction of contradictory performance

**Example 1: Low fuel cost tire** 





Coexistence of low fuel cost and braking performance

 $\Rightarrow$  want it to roll, but, don't want it to roll.

Coexistence of ice performance and SNOW performance

 $\Rightarrow$  want to reduce the ditch capacity, but, want to increase it

The tire technology development is a battle with many technical contradictions (physical and engineering contradictions)

### (2-4) Tire technology: Reverse TRIZ example of an existing technology





The TRIZ technique is effective for ⇒ innovation promotion where it can explain the ready-made technology by the TRIZ theory.

## (3-1)In-house innovation activity: Promotion details

TOYO TIRES dríven to perform



innovation activity that uses TRIZ.

## (3-2)In-house innovation activity: Promotion review



## [Example of the one-making] Manufacturing process of tire (bread)



It is necessary to devise the former process and the post-processing for making to "TRIZ that can be used" by doing connecting directly commercialization.

### (3-3)In-house innovation activity: Problem

#### It is process in front of TRIZ.

Cannot the problem theme for commercialization be set?

⇒ • The improvement of a main quality property sets (: of a cervine negative performance what).

•Coexisting of the contradiction quality characteristic sets (Even if it becomes patent).

Isn't the foundation cause selection after the cause is analyzed clear?

 $\Rightarrow$ The influence level is indefinite, and the priority is not applied.

Is the functional assay insufficient?

⇒ Are there a lot of the extermination type", and is "Wish type" approach a little?

 $<\cdots$ A scientific approach of Olympus Corp. is an example. >

TRIZ post-processing

◆ cannot? combine effectively and efficiently by the idea,
⇒ The motivation and time are lack to rely on a technological sense.
◆ Is the combination that can be used for the short term for commercialization a little?

 $\Rightarrow$  All quality properties (Q) are Ram though a specializing type and a long-term type can be done.

·C and D do not satisfy Q even if it satisfies it.

I introduce three promotion device points to the innovation established problem in this lecture.

TOYO TIRES driven to perform







10

(4-1)Promotion device①: Quality target setting by QFD



#### Low fuel cost tire (Example) ⇒<u>Main quality property</u>: RRC(Rolling resistance coefficient) 品質特性 品質企画 要求品質 環培 安全 運動 NVH 信頼 競合製品比較 べ 質 操 操 乗 摩 耐 耐 耐 R W 2 セ ル 安 久 暈 Е 安 ŵ. 1 1 耗 偏 外 $\mathcal{P}$ 品質の性質 重 ズ ズ 方 傷 要望項 Т $\sim$ 地 摩 ク 59 C 他 社 品 他社品 ブ 項 ŀ 他 C 剾 耗 スポ 軽 $\sim$ ∍ 現 行 品 要 新 社品 車 車 量 低 排 Р 制 性 1 商品 E Ē イ 4 化 燃 内 外 フ 動 水 度 В ン 費 ŀ 1次要求 2次要求 3次要求 品質の二元性(Kano Model) **Customers' needs** 満足感♪ New product 気に入る 魅力品質 plan (conversion) (潜在要求:我慢・あきらめ) ·元的品質 仕方ない (The surprise to 要求充足度 **Quality target of** the customer.) 当り前 当り前品質 technology 気に入らない! (顕在要求:不平・不満の対象) 重 度 現行品 100 100 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 100 100 競合製品比較 他社品A 他社品B 目標設定 他社品C 先行開発ブロト 日標値 130 120 110 新技術・新構造 重点項目 重点保証項目(ボトルネック技術) 過去の重大クレーム項日

As for the main quality to be made a target and the quality of a high target, it is "Technological opportunity" and NG in easiness when catching.

# (4-2)Promotion device①: Quality property of tire development





It doesn't approve only by the breakthrough of the quality property that improves a main quality property, and contradicts.

## (4-3)Promotion device①:Example of developing design and problem setting



Low fuel cost tire (example)

#### Main quality property

|          |            |     |            |                      |              |             | +           | : 性能UP;    | 方向         |     | - :         | 性能DOW       | N方向       |      |     |     |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| 設計展開見積   |            |     |            | 品質特性見積(目標レベル:基準品100> |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
| 構成部品     | 設計バラメータ(例) | 基準品 | 開発品        | 質量<br>(軽量化)          | RRC<br>(低燃費) | WET<br>(制動) | WET<br>(排水) | 操安<br>(CP) | 探安<br>(剛性) | 乗心地 | ノイズ<br>(車内) | ノイズ<br>(車外) | 摩耗<br>ライフ | 耐偏摩耗 | 耐外傷 | 耐久力 |
|          |            |     |            | 130                  | 120          | 110         | 100         | 100        | 100        | 100 | 100         | 100         | 100       | 100  | 100 | 100 |
| トレッド     | 幅          | 基準  | 幅狭化(-10mm) | +                    | +            |             |             | -          |            | +   |             |             | -         |      |     |     |
|          | 溝深さ        | 基準  | ***        | +                    | +            | -           | -           | +          |            | -   |             | +           | -         | +    |     | +   |
|          | CAP配合      | 基準  | ***        |                      | -            | -           |             | +          |            |     | -           | -           | +         | +    |     | L   |
|          | BASE配合     | 基準  | ***        |                      | -            | -           |             | +          |            |     | -           |             | +         |      |     |     |
|          | 溝底厚み       | 基準  | ***        | +                    | +            |             |             | +          |            | -   | -           |             |           | +    |     | -   |
|          | バターン溝比率    | 基準  | ***        | +                    |              | -           | +           | -          |            |     | -           | -           | -         | -    |     |     |
|          | バターン要素     | 基準  | ***        |                      |              |             |             | -          |            |     | +           | +           | +         | -    |     |     |
| ベルト補強    | 構成         | 基準  | ←          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | 素材         | 基準  | →          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
| ベルト      | 構成         | 基準  | ←          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | 素材         | 基準  | ***        | +                    |              | -           |             | -          | -          | +   | +           |             | -         |      |     |     |
|          | 角度・エンド     | 基準  | ***        |                      | -            | +           |             |            |            |     | +           |             | -         |      |     |     |
|          | 幅          | 基準  | ***        | +                    |              |             |             | -          | -          | +   | +           |             | -         |      |     |     |
| カーカスプライ  | 構成         | 基準  | <b>→</b>   |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | 索材         | 基準  | ***        | +                    |              |             |             |            | +          |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | 巻き上げ高さ     | 基準  | <b>→</b>   |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
| サイド      | 配合         | 基準  | ***        |                      |              |             |             | +          | +          | -   | -           |             |           |      | +   |     |
|          | ゴム厚        | 基準  | 薄肉化(-1mm)  | +                    | +            |             |             | -          | -          | +   | +           |             |           |      | -   |     |
| ビード補強層   | フィラー配合     | 基準  | ***        |                      | -            |             |             | +          | +          | -   | -           |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | フィラー厚      | 基準  | ***        | +                    | +            |             |             | -          | -          | +   | +           |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | フィラー高さ     | 基準  | ***        | +                    | +            |             |             | -          | -          | +   | +           |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | ビード補強      | 基準  | ***        | -                    | -            |             |             | +          | +          | -   | -           |             |           |      |     |     |
| ビードコア    | ワイヤ素材      | 基準  | →          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | ワイヤ構成      | 基準  | →          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
| インナーライナー | 幅          | 基準  | →          |                      |              |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | 厚み         | 基準  | ***        | +                    | +            |             |             |            |            |     |             |             |           |      |     |     |
| プロファイル   | 寸法諸元(外径)   | 基準  | ***        | —                    |              | +           | +           |            |            |     |             |             | +         |      |     |     |
|          | 寸法諸元(総幅)   | 基準  | ***        | +                    |              |             |             | +          | +          | -   | -           |             |           |      |     |     |
|          | R形状        | 基準  | ***        |                      | +            |             |             |            |            |     |             |             | +         |      |     |     |
|          | 断面形状       | 基準  | ***        |                      | +            | +           |             |            |            |     |             |             | +         |      |     |     |
|          |            |     | 【見積値】⇒     | 130                  | 120          | 90          | 100         | 100        | 100        | 100 | 100         | 100         | 100       | 105  | 100 | 100 |
|          |            |     | 【判定結果】⇒    | ок                   | ок           | 不足          | ок          | ок         | ок         | ок  | ок          | ок          | ок        | ОК   | ок  | ок  |

Cause analysis of the best estimate as difficult quality characteristic ⇒ technological problem to achieve in existing technology

## (5-1)Promotion device②: Cause MAP and contribution analysis



After thoroughness and logical a cause is analyzed, it matches and the hierarchy is arranged by the logic tree form.
Because the fact exists together to the cause based on the hypothesis, contribution is analyzed by AHP among members.
It extracts from the foundation cause candidate with a high priority and it shifts to this TRIZ process.

TOVO TIRES

## (5-2)Promotion device②: Cause turning around analysis





•Whether from which primary cause conception it is analyzed turning around after this TRIZ process (idea conception).

•The cause contribution is used by the TRIZ post-processing (idea summary) putting up the string to the idea.

## (6-1)Promotion device③:Current state of idea summary





"Picture rice cake".

The high-quality one will not go out easily in a short term though an epochmaking concept at a mid/long-term level arises.

#### (6-2)Promotion device③: Evaluation and how to bring idea together



#### **Evaluation item and evaluation figure**

The index level is five standard (0) <+ -5 stages < stages.

The pass or fail of a standard ratio is judged, and the comparative assessment between ideas.

#### Improvement level confirmation of problem quality

Balance confirmation of Q (quality property)

#### C&D is confirmed.

|  | Lack quality<br>(problem)   | Cause<br>Contributio<br>n |  | Q1<br>Main<br>quality | Q2<br>Main<br>quality |      | Qn |  | Cost of<br>manufacturing<br>For the<br>development cost | Developmen<br>period<br>Developmen<br>approach |  |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|----|--|---|--|--|
| Current<br>state   | 0   | —                         |  | 0                     | 0                     | •••• | 0  |  | 0   | 0  |  |
| Idea 1   | +5  | 30%                       |  | -2                    | -1                    |      | -1 |  | -2  | -1   |  |
| Idea 2   | +3  | 18%                       |  | +1                    | -1                    | •••  | +1 |  | -1  | ±0   |  |
| S  | S   | S                         |  | S                     | S                     | S    | S  |  | S   | \$   |  |
| Idea N   | +1  | 21%                       |  | +3                    | +1                    | •••  | ±0 |  | +1  | ±0   |  |
| N: 2~5<br>How to bring idea together<br>How to bring idea together           |   |                           |  |                       |                       |      |    |  |   |  |  |
| Conce<br>Idea  | - III - IIII - III - IIII - IIIII - IIII - IIII - IIII - IIII - IIIII - IIII - IIII - IIII - IIIII - IIIII - IIII - IIII - IIIII - IIIII - IIII - IIII - IIIIII | +2.4                      |  | ±0                    | ±0                    |      | ±0 |  | -1  | ±0   |  |
| The idea evaluation dares to spend time and seen turning and idea summary of |   |                           |  |                       |                       |      |    |  |   |  |  |

time and seen turning and idea summary of Q/C/D are processed automatically. 17

## (6-3)Promotion device③: Confirmation of commodity application possibility





It distributes it to product development/early development/research zone depending on the combination of ideas.

## (7)Summary



- TRIZ is a very effective as technological problem solution technique. However, it is not easy to connect directly with the product development though it leads to speed UP and the quality improvement of the invention (patent application).

- Various devices are necessary for the former process and the post-processing of TRIZ to revolutionize it to "TRIZ that can be used" by an actual product development.

It aims at a further strengthening of the in-house innovation promotion activity, and "Is there a surprise of the tire?" is made an embodiment though it is still a stage in the road middle of the trial and error.

It wants to deepen the discussion about "TRIZ that can be used" practicing it, and to spread the product development etc.



I wish to express my gratitude to Mr. Kasai of the idea Ltd. to judge validity from the broad outlook from the introduction to development when this innovation activity is promoted and for new "Awareness" and appropriate advice to be offering deeply. Thank you for listening. **TOYO TIRES** dríven to perform